UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,448	10/08/2003	Benjamin A. Knott	130332.00079	9392
67942 RAMAN N. D	7590 10/31/2007		EXAM	INER
JACKSON WALKER, L.L.P.			CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
100 CONGRES SUITE 1100	100 CONGRESS AVENUE SUITE 1100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78701	78701		3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/681,448	KNOTT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANI	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/8/03, 5/2/07.	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/681,448 Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. HERZ et al (US 2001/0014868). HERZ et al teaches a dynamic, electronic, customized advertising system where shoppers are profiled based on demographics as well as online shopping and purchasing history. The system then selects customized advertising/information to be presented to the identified user or type of shopper in order to provide the most effective visual and textual ads. Displayed content can be subtly rearranged, lengthened or shortened from one type of shopper to the next [abstract, ¶ 5]. Stored offers can have different sets of values for various parameters such as price and advertising copy [¶ 73]. This type of targeting to groups/models of shoppers is taken to provide storage of various shopper models as well as alternative web content formats so that a matching process can be executed to deliver the custom targeted advertising. HERZ et al may not specify a default content to display to a default user, but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have displayed a default ad to a particular new user until a meaningful user profile is generated over time as the user shopped using the system. Applicant's naming of

Application/Control Number:

10/681,448

Art Unit: 3622

different user models with various terms (savings-focused, cost-focused, etc) does not limit or further define the method steps claimed. However, HERZ et al teaches that different groups can be identified as this is taken as teachings for different "models." Further HERZ et al specifies selective profiling and subsequent treatment for shoppers according to price sensitivity [¶ 5] as well as those who traditionally purchase lower cost alternatives within a certain product category [¶ 73]. Further still, the pricing (flat price of \$25, a \$25 sale price from \$35, 50% off of a \$50 item) can be presented according to the type/model of shopper and their cost or savings tendencies. HERZ et al teaches that the system can be used for any type of products and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have presented customized technology ads for shoppers who have showed interest in technology products. The system of HERZ et al is taken to be a system where profile collection and custom advertisement selections are made in real time as the user is online.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey D. Carlson whose telephone number is 571-272-6716. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8a-5:30p, (work from home on Thursdays).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/681,448 Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey D. Carlson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

jdc